

①

(a) True; $\mathbb{Z}_{10}^\times = \{1, 3, 7, 9\}$, and $\langle 3 \rangle = \{1, 3, 9, 7\}$,
so \mathbb{Z}_{10}^\times is cyclic.

(b) True; $|S_n/A_n| = 2$, & 2 is prime, so S_n/A_n is cyclic
(all groups of prime order are cyclic).

(c) False; this is only true if R has no nontrivial zero-divisors.

(d) True; in fact all subgroups of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ have the form $n\mathbb{Z}$.

(e) True; x^3+x+1 has no roots since $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$, $0^3+0+1=1$ & $1^3+1+1=1$,
hence it is irreducible since the degree is 3, and irred. polys.
in $F[X]$ (F a field) generate maximal ideals, so the
quotient $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(x^3+x+1)$ is a field.

② (a)

(i) it is useful to first write σ as a product of disjoint cycles:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &= (156)(134)^{-1}(2546)(37)^{-1} \\ &= (156)(143)(2546)(37) \\ &= (14356)(2546)(37) \\ &= (14)(26)(35)(37) \\ &= (14)(26)(375)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $o(\sigma) = \text{LCM}(2, 2, 3) = \boxed{6}$.

(ii) $\sigma = \text{odd} \cdot \text{odd} \cdot \text{even} = \text{even}$, so indeed $\sigma \in A_7$.

(b)
$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 & ? & ? & 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

missing entries: 1 and 5.

So there are two cases:

1) $\tau(4)=1$ & $\tau(5)=5$. Then as disjoint cycles:

$$\tau = (124)(37) \quad (\text{which is odd})$$

2) $\tau(4)=5$ & $\tau(5)=1$, Then

$$\tau = (1245)(37) \quad (\text{which is even})$$

So $\tau \in A_7 \Rightarrow$ case 2 is correct: $\tau(4)=5$ & $\tau(5)=1$.

(c) For $n \geq 3$, we can let $\sigma = (12)$ $\tau = (2,3)$ in S_n .

Then $\sigma\tau = (123)$ & $\tau\sigma = (132)$,

so $\sigma\tau \neq \tau\sigma$; this shows that S_n is non-abelian.

③

(a) By Lagrange's theorem, any subgroup of a group G of order p^2 has order $1, p,$ or p^2 . Hence any proper subgroup has order 1 or p . The only order- 1 subgroup is $\{e_G\}$, which is cyclic. Any order- p subgroup is cyclic since all prime-order groups are cyclic.

(b) Let $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_8$ be the image of φ . Then we have a surjective group hom. $\mathbb{Z}_{24} \rightarrow H$ w/ kernel $\{0, 8, 16\}$,
so $\mathbb{Z}_{24}/\{0, 8, 16\} \cong H$ (fund. thm. of group homs)
 $\Rightarrow |\mathbb{Z}_{24}|/|\{0, 8, 16\}| = |H|$
 $\Rightarrow 24/3 = |H| \Rightarrow |H| = 8.$

So H must be all of \mathbb{Z}_8 (since $|\mathbb{Z}_8| = 8$), so φ is surjective.

(c) By the fund. thm. again,

$$G/K \cong \text{im } \varphi$$

& by Lagrange's thm. $|\text{im } \varphi|$ divides $|H| = 10$.

But $|G/K| = |G|/|K|$ divides $|G| = 6$, so

$|\text{im } \varphi|$ is a common divisor of 6 & 10 ... the only possibilities are 1 & 2 .

So either $|\text{im } \varphi| = 1$, in which case $\frac{6}{|K|} = 1$ & $|K| = 6$,

or $|\text{im } \varphi| = 2$, in which case $\frac{6}{|K|} = 2$ & $|K| = 3$.

So indeed $|K|$ must be either 3 or 6 .

④ $H \leq G$ st. $\forall x, y \in G, x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in H$.

(a) Suppose $h \in H$ & $g \in G$.

Then $ghg^{-1} = (ghg^{-1}h^{-1})h$

& setting $x=g^{-1}, y=h^{-1}$, we see that

$$ghg^{-1}h^{-1} = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in H,$$

$\Rightarrow (ghg^{-1}h^{-1})h \in H$ since H is closed under mult.

Hence $ghg^{-1} \in H$. This is true for all $g \in G, h \in H$, so $H \triangleleft G$.

(b) For any two elements $Hx, Hy \in G/H$,

$$HxHy = Hxy$$

$$\& HyHx = Hyx$$

So these are equal iff $Hxy = Hyx$,

which holds iff $xy(yx)^{-1} \in H$, i.e. $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in H$.

But this holds, since $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = u^{-1}v^{-1}uv$, where $u=x^{-1}$ & $v=y^{-1}$,

& this lies in H by assumption.

5

(a) $\varphi^{-1}(P)$ is nonempty since $\varphi(0_R) = 0_S \in P \Rightarrow 0_R \in \varphi^{-1}(P)$.

$\varphi^{-1}(P)$ is closed under subtraction since

$$\forall a, b \in \varphi^{-1}(P),$$

$$\varphi(a-b) = \varphi(a) - \varphi(b) \in P$$

since $\varphi(a), \varphi(b) \in P$ & P is closed under subtraction

$$\Rightarrow a-b \in \varphi^{-1}(P).$$

$\varphi^{-1}(P)$ is sticky, since

$$\forall a \in \varphi^{-1}(P) \text{ \& } r \in R,$$

$$\varphi(ar) = \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(r) \in P \text{ since } \varphi(a) \in P \text{ \& } P \text{ is sticky}$$

$$\text{\& } \varphi(ra) = \varphi(r) \cdot \varphi(a) \in P \text{ for the same reason.}$$

$$\Rightarrow ar \text{ \& } ra \text{ are both in } \varphi^{-1}(P).$$

(b) Suppose that $ab \in \varphi^{-1}(P)$. ($a, b \in R$)

Then $\varphi(ab) \in P$, so $\varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in P$.

Since P is prime, either $\varphi(a) \in P$ or $\varphi(b) \in P$.

Hence either $a \in \varphi^{-1}(P)$ or $b \in \varphi^{-1}(P)$.

This shows that $\varphi^{-1}(P)$ is a prime ideal of R .

⑥ (a)

Observe that $2^2 + 4 \cdot 2 + 2 = 4 + 1 + 2 = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_7

so 2 is a root of $X^2 + 4X + 2$.

Factoring it out gives

$$X^2 + 4X + 2 = (X - 2)(X + 6),$$

or equivalently, $(X + 5)(X + 6)$.

(b) Let $J = (X + 5)$.

Then $J \supseteq I$ since any multiple of $(X + 5)(X + 6)$ is a multiple of $(X + 5)$, but $J \neq I$ since $X + 5 \notin (X^2 + 4X + 2)$ (no elt. of $(X^2 + 4X + 2)$ can have degree 1).

// $J = (X + 6)$ is the other possible answer.

(c) Both $\overline{X + 5}$ & $\overline{X + 6}$ are zero-divisors in $\mathbb{Z}_7[X]/I$; both are nonzero, since I has no degree 1 elements, but their product is $\overline{X^2 + 4X + 2} = \overline{0}$.

(d) Division algorithm:

$$\begin{array}{r} X^2 + 4X + 2 \overline{) X^3 + 0X^2 + 2X - 1} \\ \underline{X^3 + 4X^2 + 2X} \\ 3X^2 \\ \underline{3X^2 + 5X + 6} \\ 2X + 0 \\ \text{Remainder} \end{array}$$

So

$$X^3 + 2X - 1 = (X^2 + 4X + 2) \underbrace{(X + 3)}_{\text{quotient}} + \underbrace{2X}_{\text{remainder}}$$

& thus

$$\overline{X^3 + 2X - 1} = \overline{2X} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_7[X]/I,$$

since $(X^3 + 2X - 1) - 2X$ is a multiple of $(X^2 + 4X + 2)$.

7

- (a) No such two groups exist, since any group of order 5 is cyclic (since 5 is prime) & hence isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_5 , so any two order-5 groups are isomorphic to each other.
- (b) \mathbb{Z}_6 (or indeed any \mathbb{Z}_n w/ n composite) is a commutative ring w/ 1 but not an integral domain; note that $2 \cdot 3 = 0$, but $2, 3 \neq 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_6 .

(c) In \mathbb{Z} , $\{0\}$ is a prime ideal ($ab=0 \Rightarrow a=0$ or $b=0$), but it is not maximal since for example $2\mathbb{Z}$ is a larger proper ideal.

(d) $x^2+x = \underline{x(x+1)}$,

& $x^2+x = x^2+7x+12 = \underline{(x+3)(x+4)}$ in \mathbb{Z}_6

So these give two different factorizations; one can check that the factors of $x(x+1)$ are not constant multiples of the the factors in $(x+3)(x+4)$.

(e) There is no root of x^4-2 in \mathbb{Z}_5 , as we can check by trial & error:

$$0^4 = 0 \neq 2$$

$$1^4 = 1 \neq 2$$

$$2^4 = 4^2 = 1 \neq 2$$

$$3^4 = 4^2 = 1 \neq 2$$

$$4^4 = 1^2 = 1 \neq 2$$

(all arithmetic is in \mathbb{Z}_5)

Or, a quicker route:

Fermat's little theorem implies that

$$\forall x \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_5, \quad x^4 = 1 \neq 2.$$